



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,362	03/31/2004	Adam Merzon	1668-5 RCE	6083

7590 09/04/2009
Law Office of Stephen Cannavale
3 Hanlan Road
Caldwell, NJ 07006

EXAMINER

LEWIS, JUSTIN V

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3725

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/04/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/816,362	Applicant(s) MERZON, ADAM	
	Examiner JUSTIN V. LEWIS	Art Unit 3725	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JUSTIN V. LEWIS. (3) STEPHEN CANNAVALE.
 (2) DANA ROSS. (4) ADAM MERZON.
 (5) JOHN C. BOGUT, JR.

Date of Interview: 01 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Mr. Merzon presented the subject binder assembly and provided details of the advantages thereof over the prior art.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. 6,379,070 to Butchma; U.S. 6,209,917 to Welch.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The parties agreed that proposed amended claim 1, including the limitation of a "single spine" overcomes the Butchma reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Justin V. Lewis/
Examiner, Art Unit 3725

/Dana Ross/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725